Had hair extensions pulled out in assault

Incidents took place on St Patrick's Day in centre of Sligo

GERRY MCLAUGHLIN

Awoman, who pulled out hair extensions from another woman in a St Patrick's Day assault has been given a suspended jail sentence, at Sligo Circuit Court.

Stacey Carty (37) of Abbey Court, Lower Abbey Street, was given a four month jail sentence suspended or five years.

She pleaded guilty to assault causing harm to Sally McAleenan at the Bourbon Bar, Rockwood Parade on March 17 last year and also to a second charge of assault at the same venue.

Carty also pleaded guilty to

E: info@sligococo.ie

value of €180 belonging to Sally McAleenan. Carty further admitted assaulting Ms McAleenan at Queen Maeve Square on the same date.

Outlining the evidence Sergeant Keith Marron, led by Mr Leo Mulrooney BL instructed by Ms Elisa McHugh, State Solicitor said Ms McAleenan (33) was in Queen Maeve Square with her partner on March 17 last year at a concert.

She noticed that Stacy Carty was "hanging around." The defendant then came in her face and asked her where a certain person was. Carty then hit her three times in the face and also pulled her hair.

Ms McAleenan then went to the Bourbon Bar and Carty was there also, the court heard.

Cartywent up to her again and pulled her hair and only let go when the victim's partner went to get a bouncer. But Carty later



One of the assaults on the victim took place at Queen Maeve Square.

rier ripping out hair extensions

#sligoliveinvestvisit

Garda D'Arcy investigated the incident and Carty made admissions, the court heard. She said she knew Sally McAleenan and had given her dance lessons.

When asked if there were any issues between the parties, Carty said Ms McAleenan had gone on holiday with Carty's ex partner.

Carty said she had made contact with the victim, but initially denied pulling the victim's hair.

When shown CCTV she made admissions. The defendant had no previous convictions.

In her Victim Impact Statement read by Sergeant Marron, Ms McAleenan said the incident had a profound effect on her.

It made her afraid to go out and left her very anxious. She needed medical attention for her bald patch and had to take medication. Her scalp was very sore, and she was wanting to avoid Carty

Ms McAleenan stated she had a short relationship with Stacey Carty's ex partner which she ended in 2021.

But she had since been confronted by Carty on many occa-

Mr Des Dockery SC with Eoin McGovern BL instructed by McGovern/Walsh Solicitors said his client had co-operated fully with gardai.

The defendant also had a lot of drink taken. But it was a total over reaction from the defendant.

Mr Dockery said the history of the matter was that Ms McAleenan had a short relationship with Carty's ex-partner but this did not justify her actions.

Carty and her partner separated, and they have two children.

She took the break-up badly and needed counselling and this was the context for this matter before the court.

The defendant was a 37-year-

old mother of two.

She and her partner had been together for ten years and the aftermath of the break-up had a big effect on her.

The defendant had €1,000 in court as a gesture of remorse to the victim.

Mr Dockery read out a short, handwritten note of apology from the defendant to the victim which said she was very sorry for the hurt she had caused Ms McAleenan.

"I hope you have recovered, and

we can both move on," she said. Character references were handed into court, and she was assessed as being at a low risk of re-offending.

The defendant was not suitable for a community servicde order as she had vertigo, Mr Dockery added.

Judge Ronan Munro said the defendant had shown "complete obsessive behaviour" on the date of the incident.

He said he did not like the tone of her apology which said;

"I hope we can both move on." This was an undercurrent to the case.

In sentencing the defendant, Judge Munro said it was "bizarre behaviour" from the defendant.

The most serious part of the offending was where the defendant pulled Sally McAleenan's hair very hard, ripped off her exten-

sions and leaving a bald patch. The defendant was determined to leave her mark on Ms McAleenan

Ms McAleenan was out enjoying herself when she was the victim of "this obsessive attack" which was investigated by Garda D'Arcy.

The background to the assault was that Ms McAleenan had gone out with Carty's ex-partner.

Under questioning by gardai,

the defendant said she had pulled the hair of the victim and took pleasure in it.

Ms McAleenan was left with a strong reminder of the incident which is what Carty intended.

And at no time did Carty show remorse, the judge said.

A letter of apology said she was deeply sorry but the judge said he did not feel it in any way. Also, the line that they hoped

they could put it behind them and they could both move on was strange.

It was implying that Ms McAleenan should move on and that was clearly not the case. It was a bizarre matter, the

judge added.

The judge said he was taking the early plea into account but was taking the remorse with a pinch of salt given the defendant's wording of her apology.

But she had no previous convictions, and it was an aberration in an otherwise blameless life.

The Probation Report said she was not suitable for a community service order due to medical difficulties as she suffers from vertigo.

The defendant needed a structure in place as he did not want a repeat of this behaviour.

The judge said that to ensure that the peace was kept he was jailing the defendant for four

months suspended for five years. She was to have no direct or indirect contact with Sally McAleenan or her family and was

to pay €1,000 to Ms McAleenan. Carty was also ordered to enter a bond of €500 to keep the peace and be of good behaviour

for five years. The €1,000 was not compensation but it was hoped that something positive could come out of what the judge called was "this bizarre situation."

which her with a bald patch. damaging hair extensions to the pulled her hair again over a bar-COMHAIRLE CHONTAE SHLIGIGH Sligo. SLIGO COUNTY COUNCIL NOTICE UNDER SECTION 177AE OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, AS AMENDED APPLICATION TO AN BORD PLEANALA FOR APPROVAL Proposed Repair and Refurbishment of Markievicz Bridge. Bridge Street Sligo, Co Sligo. Pursuant to Section 177AE of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) and the requirements of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended), notice is hereby given that Sligo County Council proposes to make an application for approval to an Bord Pleanála for the following development at this site c.0.2767ha Markievicz Bridge, Bridge Street Sligo, Co Sligo. The proposed development includes: Repair Scour Damage to Existing Bridge Piers and Abutments Repair Scour Damage to Riverbed under and in the curtilage of the Structure Cleaning, Removing Vegetation & Repointing of Masonry of Structure Sligo County Council proposes to seek the approval of An Bord Pleanála for the proposed development under section 177AE of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended. A Natura Impact Statement (NIS) has been prepared in respect of the proposed development. The Board may, in relation to an application for approval under section 177AE, of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, may give approval to the application for development with or without conditions or may refuse the application for development. Plans and particulars, including the Natura Impact Statement completed for the proposed development, are available for inspection at the offices of The consultation website of Sligo County Council at: https://consult.sligococo.ie/en from 22nd August 2025 to 3rd October 2025 (inclusive of both dates) Sligo County Council, County Hall, Riverside, Sligo, County Sligo, during public opening hours, Mondays to Fridays (bank holidays excluded) by appointment only The plans and particulars, including the Natura Impact Statement, may also be inspected at the offices of An Bord Pleanála. Submissions and observations may be made in writing to An Bord Pleanála, 64 Marlborough Street, Dublin 1, before 5.30 pm on 3rd i) The implications of the proposed development dealing with the proper planning and development of the area in which the development ii) The likely effects on the environment of the proposed development; and iii) The likely significant effects of the proposed development on a European Site, if carried out. A person may question the validity of any such decision by the Board by way an application for judicial review, under order 84 of the Rules of the Superior Courts (S.I. No. 15 of 1986), in accordance with section 50 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended. Practical information on the review mechanism can be accessed under the heading Publications - Judicial Review Notice on the Board's website www.pleanala.ie or the Citizen Information Service website www.citizeninformation.ie. Signed: Jim Molloy Director of Services, Climate & Infrastructure & Sligo-Drumcliff Municipal District, Sligo County Council, County Hall, Riverside, Sligo F91Y763 Tel: 071 911 1111